Exhibit I

The Special Commissioner of Investigation

For the New York City School District

CASE FORM

Date: 6/6/2003 Received by 17

Response Date to the Complainant

Referred From

20031602 Senool 811K

DIVISION DSE

Region

Complainant wishes to remain confidential?

(Y/N) <u>N</u>

If yes, does complainant agree to the release of complainant data to the appropriate office if complaint is referred? (Y/N) \underline{Y}

Allegation:

Carbon copied correspondence addressed to Mayor Bloomberg from Ernest Jeter, Guidance Counselor assigned to P811K, relates that he is being unfairly harassed about his time by Dr. Susan Erber, Citywide Programs Superintendent and Ms. Bonnie Brown, Director of Operations for CSD # 75 (see attached). Mr. Jeter claims that said parties are well aware of his medical condition, via medical documentation which he has provided, which necessitates medical treatment at the Veteran's Hospital on Tuesdays and Thursdays (due to two line of duty injuries which he sustained at the hands of students). Mr. Jeter writes that although he is absent on these two days, his workload has never suffered as a result.

List of Names:

Last Salvinia Control	Eirst at A Street Control	Role	Title	Position - Position	School	Dist	Div
BROWN	BONNIE	SU	AD	DIRECTOR OF OPERA		75	DSE
ERB ER	SUSAN	SU	SU	SUPERINTENDENT		75	DSE
JETER	ERN EST	CO	GC	GUIDANCE COUNSEL	811K	75	DSE

Allegation Information:

Somplamant	Туро	Subject
JETER ERNEST	EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT	BROWN, BONNIE
'ETER ERNEST	EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT	ERBER, SUSAN

Findings

Substantiation Information

Subject Victim

Case Results

Subject

Administrative Recommendations

Subject: Report Date Followup Date Final Date:

92-3065 R (OSI) BROWN 01-0516 R (OSI) BROWN 99-2449 R (OSI) 96-1843 R (OSI) ASK ONE

Exhibit J

24

write on this page and it goes through, and it

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2584 Page 2585 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 * A. Some O.T.s and P.T.s. 2 A. It was during the same school 3 Q. Do you remember their names? 3 year that I was reviewing Mr. Jeter's book. 4 A. No. 4 Q. All right, I'm going to show 5 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I just 5 you what -- D-B-Twenty -- or D-Twenty-seven-A, 6 needed a time frame which I believe is one of the forms you filled 6 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Object? out for October, that's in your handwriting. 7 8 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: - as to 8 Checking the books? 9 what period he's referring to. Is he referring 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 to this time -10 Q. What -- just going back, the 11 MR. GLASS: Yes. 11 O.T.s and P.T.s, were there any other names you MS: PEPE-SOUVENIR: -- that 12 12 recall that -- when you reviewed? 13 Mr. - Mr. Jeter's things were being reviewed 13 A. No, there were many, many of 14 14 them and I don't recall their names. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm 16 Foundation? sorry, is that - you said DB-Twenty-eight? 16 17 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: -17 MR. GLASS: This was part of 18 another time frame? 18 that big exhibit -- it's marked as --19 THE HEARING OFFICER: 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, 20 Foundation. 20 Twenty-seven-A? 21 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 21 MR. GLASS: -- Twenty-seven. 22 Q. Well, what - what school 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 23 year did you review these other people's 23 All right. 24 related-service books? 24 MR. GLASS: Okay. Page 2586 Page 2587 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 2 should all be on this page then. 3 Q. Now, you have here, written 3 So if, on the first page, the 4 on the side, that -- for example, no mandate 4 first time you do the book, you fill out all of 5 listed or no effective language. Were you the information, it's documented on this page, 5 6 familiar with that book when you recorded 6 which is why you don't have to repeat it, 7 those - missing pieces - we're - we're 7 because then the next month the only piece that 8 doing -8 you do is the attendance, because all of that 9 Yes. Information is already permanently recorded on 9 10 Q: - R-Fifteen? 10 this - that page. 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. You're saying as of October, 12 Okay. And are you familiar 12 did the -- did you have the September --13 with the provision of the book that says 13 there's been a concession in this case that the 14 that -- that as a pilot attendance-keeping books -- are you aware -- was every one of Mr. 14 15 program, providers only need to fill out the Jeter's books correctly submitted to Source 15 16 top of those forms as of the first month and 16 Corporation? then it carries over to subsequent months? 17 17 A. I'm sorry. I don't 18 A. It should go through on the understand the question. 18 19 carbon copies. It wasn't filled out on any of 19 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I don't 20 them. 20 understand It. 21 Q. Isn't it --? 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, 22 A. If all comes down - well. 22 the -- Ms -- Ms. Pepe-Souvenir, if the witness 23 it's like this. This the carbonized page, you 23 doesn't understand, that's -- she'll say so.

24

Otherwise, unless there's some other objection,

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest leter,

Associated Reporters Int'L, Inc.

Page 2612

Page 2613

1	DeMarco - Cross - Glass	1	DeMarco - Cross - Glass
2	that class had science, so that's where they	2	one-to-one. I don't remember if G. did.
3	would be.	3	Q. Okay. And when you got the
4	Q. Okay. And they - but they	4	sign-in - sign-in/sign-out sheets, did you
5	may have been with three or four different	5	look for other irregularities among
6	teachers during a school day; correct?	6	providers as to whether they were properly
7	A. During a day, absolutely.	7	filling them out?
8	It's a reflected high school setting.	8	A. Not specifically. At one
9	Q. In the class that the E. boys	9	point during the school year we collected
10	were in, did they also have like a was there	10	everyone's books and crosschecked. We picked a
11	also classroom para in that class? ് ക്രോഗ്ര	11	starting day, and Ms. Henderson and Mr. Bennet
12	A. V.	12	crosschecked those - the documents for all the
13	Q. Do you remember who that was	13	related-service providers.
14	in the?"\\[\(\) \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	14	Q. Are the related-service
15	No, it changes. M. was in a	15	providers mandated to take the students out of
16	six-one-one class, and G. was in twelve-one-one	16	the classroom for one you know, one-to-one
17	class.	17	counseling?
18	G. So those classrooms would	18	A. Counseling was usually out of
19	have a teacher, a classroom para and perhaps a	19	the classroom. It's not usually pushing.
20	one-to-one para?	20	Q. And that would
21	A. Perhaps a one-to-one.	21	A. It's in the privacy
22	Q. And both of those boys	22	Q would that have been the
23	required one-to-one, do you recall?	23	speech therapist as well?
24	A. I'm pretty sure M. had a	24	A. Just on speech, the model for

Page 2614

Page 2615

1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 district seventy-five really encourages push-in 2 **DeMartino's (phonetic spelling) class. It** 3 for the students to use language appropriately 3 would probably be part of -- it's in that --4 In an appropriate setting. 4 it's in that - it's in that packet of 5 Oi: Do you recall - Ms. Santa 5 documents for that week. 6 Antonio as one of the providers? polysomer 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 7 A. Sounds familiar. 7 Okay. It's in -- so, it's in D-Twenty-seven? 8 Q. Did you notice any 8 MR. GLASS: Yes. rregularity in the way she was filling out the 9 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 10 sign-in/sign-out sheet? 10 MR. GLASS: But I'm showing 11 A 1-. 11 her one of the pages --12 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: 12 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 13 Objection. That isn't irrelevant to the 13 MR. GLASS: -- from that 14 charges. 14 packet. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well. 15 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) let's -- let's start with -- she said it sounds 16 16 Q. Is that a typical sign-in familiar, which - and I'm not sure what that 17 17 sheet? 18 means. I'm not sure whether it's a basis for 18 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I missed . 2 (19 asking questions about the person. 19 the -- I -- was there --20 MR. GLASS: It would be in --20 A. Yes, it is. 21 it's in the paperwork. 21 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: -- I'm 22 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 22 sorry. Was there a ruling on my objection --23 Q. Take a look at the October 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 24 25th, 29th, 2004 sign-in sheet for Mrs. 24 That's --

19

20

21

22

23

24

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2616 Page 2617 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: - as to 2 Melissa. I'm not - I'm not entirely sure. 3 relevance. 3 Q. Okay. Would she have 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: services -- would she have a mandate to service 4 5 well, let's - let - let's see if she - if 5 individual students? she first can establish that she knows - that 6 6 A. Yes. 7 he can establish that he - that she knows the 7 Q. I notice it says on that 8 person --8 sheet, class. Is that an appropriate use of a 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 sign-in sheet? 10 THE HEARING OFFICER. 10 A. She most likely was push-in. 11 which would be a basis for asking questions. 11 Speech was push-in. Speech Therapists did not and then we'll get to the relevance of the 12 12 have a private office, only our guidance 13 question. 13 counselors did. Speech provider worked in the 14 MS: PEPE-SOUVENIR: Okay. 14 classroom with the students. BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 15 15 Q. But would she have a mandate 16 Q. Okay. So, do you -- do you to service individual students? 16 17 recognize that sheet? 17 A. Yes. 18 A. Yes. 18 Q. Did you ever question her as

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

23

24

Page 2618

Page 2619

DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 2 MR. GLASS: Well, part of the 3 theory is that he is being scrutinized: 4 differently than other people and so this goes 5 to you know - the question of sign-in sheets, 6 there's been some testimony that she reviewed 7 that, her concerns, and we're trying to show 8 that it wasn't always consistent, whether 9 she --. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: I -- I think it's relevant, at least as background 11 12 evidence. 13 MR. GLASS: And it - this 14 document is in evidence. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 16 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 17 Q. Okay. Did you ever question

Q. And does that refresh your

A. She's - I believe, a speech

recollection of who Santa Antonio is?

Q. Who -- who is she?

therapist, and I think her first name is

A. Yes.

A. No.

sign-in sheets?

Q. Do you know if anyone else ever questioned her about that?

Ms. Santa Antonio about her filling out the

A. I wouldn't know. I was only directed to monitor Mr. Jeter's sheets.

DeMarco - Cross - Glass

to how she -- why she was filling out "class"

MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR:

Q. Do you know someone named

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

3 Rochelle?

on this?

Mr. Glass?

Objection, relevance.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Was she -- was she amandated one-to-one provider?

A. I don't know what exactly her — whether she was listed as a one-to-one — I believe she may have been listed on the organization under that. She was in the main office.

Q. Who made the decision to assign her to the main office as opposed to as a one-to-one service --?

MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR:

16 Objection, relevancy to the charges.

17 MR. GLASS: Well, it's

particularly relevant to Ms. Copenny's chargethat was charged in here about Mr. Jeter

raising a concern about what Ms. Kirshbalm wasdoing.

21 doing.

MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: That was never -- as far as direct. That was not --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Go

and specter

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 2628

800.523.7887 06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2629

DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass even though you think it's vague, then your 2 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: And she responsibility on redirect examination is to 3 may very well just go ahead and answer. clear up the ambiguity. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Here's 5 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: But if the thing though, when I say that a question --6 I'm not given the chance to say I don't I don't understand the question, I'm not saying 7 understand the question, if you could make it it in the position of the witness, answering 8 clearer before I can make my objection for the witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. 10 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: -- that's MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I'm 11 my concern. saying that I don't understand the question 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: I because either I need to make an objection to think -- I think that's a redirect examination 13 the question, or if the question is not issue. I mean, foundation is another kind of 14 objectionable at all, if it's just made clearer objection you can make. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

16 then we can just move on. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: So, my 18 19 thing is not that - I don't want the -- the --

20 the witness to go ahead and answer.

21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. 22 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: She may 23 very well understand what it is,

THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. 24

MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Uh-huh. THE HEARING OFFICER: But, I think that, other than the -- the sort of conventional objections to the form of the question, or to the content of the question even, then you're left, on redirect to clear up any ambiguity. You know, that's -- that's --

Page 2630

Page 2631

DeMarco - Cross - Glass inappropriate to -- to interfere with an examination. And -- and -- and lawyers do it, but -- but just to say I don't understand the question, I mean, that's really between the witness and the examiner.

MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Okay. THE HEARING OFFICER: And -but -- and but -- I mean, you have tools that will allow you to clear all that up. It's just in the interest of order, using those tools, rather than interrupting the questioning.

12 13 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: And just 14 note my objection for the last --

15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, 16 okay.

17 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: --

18 decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

THE HEARING OFFICER: Duly 19 noted.

20 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 21

22 Q. You know, I was asking a question about, did you have any role in Ms. 23

Kirshbalm assignment to the office?

DeMarco - Cross - Glass

A. No.

3 Q. Do you know who made that 4 assignment?

that's the -- the order of the process.

But it's certainly

A. Ms. Henderson.

Q. Okay. Do you know if that was in violation of the -- what Ms. -- Ms. Kirshbalm's job was? To assign her to do paperwork when she was to be a one-to-one?

A. That was Ms. Henderson's, decision not mine.

Q. So, I believe your previous testimony was as far as the -- the -- the logs, and the creation of these logs, that the -that you were doing for October, you were really only doing it for Mr. Jeter; you weren't doing that regularly for any other provider?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. So, you don't know if 19 20 other providers were leaving the top form empty 21 or --? 22

A. I was not reading any of them.

Q. Okay. You said you had some

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

800.523.7887

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2632 Page 2633 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 familiarity with the counselor and clinician's 2 R-Seventy-three. This is an e-mail. Have you 3 daily log. Let me just show you what's -seen that e-mail before? 3 4 already in as R-Sixty-nine through Seventy-two. 4 A. It wasn't sent to me. 5 If you could just look at the comments on the 5 Q. Did you ever see that e-mail? top of those forms. 6 A. I - I really don't know. 7 A. Uh-huh. 7 Q. So that -- so, as of December 8 Q. Do you recall seeing those 8 and January, you were still involved in --? 9 logs from Jeter? 9 A. Absolutely. 10 A. No. 10 Q. And this was at Ms. -- Ms. 11 Q. Okay. 11 Dreyfus' request? 12 A. I don't think at this point I 12 A. Yes, And Ms. Henderson. was monitoring them anymore. 13 Q. Show you R-Seventy-five. 13 Q. Does it appear that someone 14 14 Wondering if you've seen this? 15 else may have been monitoring them at that 15 A. No, I've never seen it. point? 16 16 Q. Were you ever called to any 17 A. I wouldn't know. 17 kind of grievance meeting with -18 Q. Were you aware that at some A. Yes. 18 19 point you were relieved of that duty and Q. -- regarding Mr. Jeter? 19 20 someone else was assigned to --20 A. No. 21 A. I was not -21 Q. Were you ever told that a 22 Q. -- look at logs? grievance had been filed against you? 22 23 A. - I was not informed. 23 A. No. 24 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you 24 Q. On the Page 2635 Page 2634

	1460 2001		1460 2000
1	DeMarco - Cross - Glass	1	DeMarco - Cross - Glass
2	related-service-attendance cards in that book,	2	those to Mr. Jeter at anytime?
3	did you ever any make any marks on Mr. Jeter's	3	A. At times I gave him copies.
4	cards yourself? You have to yes or no for the	4	Q. The complete log sheet?
5	record.	5	A. My cover sheets.
6	A. No.	6	Q. What? Showing which teachers
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: In that	7	were missing?
8	book, you're referring to?	8	A. Uh-huh.
9	MR. GLASS: The yellow book	9	Q. Do you have anything in
10	for for a particular student.	10	writing to show that you gave them to Mr.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: What's	11	Jeter?
12	the number on the yellow book?	12	A. No, I don't.
13	MR. GLASS: R-Fifteen.	13	Q. And how how often did you
14	THE HEARING OFFICER:	14	do that?
15	R-Fifteen, okay.	15	A. Often when I returned the
16	A. (Cont'g.) I don't believe	16	books. I did not do it consistently. My goal
17	so. I – it wouldn't have been appropriate.	17	was to have them correct, and to make sure
18	BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.)	18	students were served. That was my only
19	Q. That was for Mr. Jeter to	19	function.
20	correct?	20	 Q. And you recall specifically
21	A. Correct.	21	giving Mr. Jeter those the long forms or
22	Q. Okay. Now, these these	22	those forms comparing the his logs to the
23	long log sheets that we were looking at, that	23	related-service-attendance book?

24

A. At times I would put them in

are part of D-Twenty-seven, did you provide

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2676

Page 2677

1	DeMarco - Cross - Glass	1	DeMarco - Cross - Glass
2	of service.	2	Q the concept of start date?
3	Q. Okay. So, it could be even	3	A. Yes. When I created the
4	like a - it may take a few weeks for the	4	schedule no student had been picked up yet.
5	school to - allocate when the service will	5	Q. You were you were first
6	start?	6	creating it, but?
7	A. It — It — there may be some	7	A. When I created it in
8	time. I don't think there would be a few	8	September no student had been picked up yet.
9	weeks, but there might be a few days lag from	9	Q. Okay. So, would wouldn't
10	when we - we're notified that a student is	10	you need to give Mr. Jeter a start date to
11	unserved, or receiving counseling, to the	11	start his pick-ups?
12	actual assignment.	12	A. No, Mr. Jeter's start date is
13	Q. Okay. And is there - do	13	the first date he picks up the student. So, he
14	you - do you know - so these students may	14	generates the start date.
15	have different start dates	15	 Q. But you were creating these
16	A Yes.	16	schedules for him to see the students, so
17	Qui - à correct?	17	you were you creating the start start
18	Did you start to fill in	18	dates based on their I.E.P.s?
19	start dates later in these forms?	19	A. The start date is the first
20	A I did not.	20	day the student attends in school and is picked
21	Q. Did you know what start dates	21	up by the provider.
22	were at the time that you were doing these for	22	Q. And Mr. Jeter was assigned to
23	him? I mean; did you understand	23	these particular students as of the date you
24	A. When I —	24	gave him the first schedule; correct?

Page 2678

Page 2679

1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Okay. And Mr. Lent would 4 have had a similar schedule for his students? 5 A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you look at any of 6 7 Mr. Lent's sign-out logs in September 2004, to 8 see if he was servicing the E. boys? 355 9 A. I know he was assigned the E. 10 boys. I had seen that; I had seen his 11 schedule. I looked at his schedule, yes. 12 Q. Did you review his 13 sign-out - the sign-out logs? 14 A. No, I was not directed to. 15 Q. Okay. And you're not certain 16 whether he was signing in or signing out those boys early in September of 2004? 17 18 A. No, but I did collect all the 19 sign-in/sign-out logs. 20 MR. GLASS: I'm not sure we 21 have this in at some - at this point. Mr. Jeter just gave me this document. I'm going to 22 23 show her -- let me just mark it, to be safe, as 241 my next.

1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: 3 Certainly. You haven't introduced anything 4 today; right? 5 MR. GLASS: No, I haven't. 6 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: No. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 8 So, I think it's Seventy-nine. 9 MR. GLASS: I'm going to show 10 her two documents that Mr. Jeter handed me 11 during the break, so I don't have copies yet, 12 but R-Seventy-nine and R-Eighty, I'll mark 13 them. 14 15 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Okay. 16 MR. GLASS: Do you want to --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

17 I'm going to give this to the witness, but --.

18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 19

Go ahead.

20 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.)

21 Q. So, R-Seventy-nine, is that 22 another schedule that you created for Mr.

23 Jeter?

24

A. Yes.

Page 2680

800.523.7887

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

2680 Page 268!

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 designated to give him a schedule. I did this 2 Q. That's your handwriting? 2 3 3 part, where - all the names and OSIS (phonetic A. Yes. spelling) numbers, that was a courtesy I did 4 Q. Okay. And this is as of 4 for him. I was designated to give him a 5 3/21/05, I believe, on the top? 5 6 A. Uh-huh. 6 schedule. 7 7 Q. Okay. At that point you Q. Okay. A. And I gave him all the 8 still were not putting start dates in his 8 9 9 information with the student's mandates and schedules? 10 A. No, I was just adding two 10 their I.D. numbers. 11 more students to his caseload. 11 Q. Is everything on 12 Q. Okay. And -? 12 R-Seventy-nine your handwriting? A. It wasn't my job to put in 13 A. Yeah. 13 Q. So, you -- so, you wrote down the start dates. That's the guidance 14 14 all the names of the students and you -- then 15 counselor's responsibility. 15 you actually created the schedule on the 16 Q. Was he directed to fill out 16 17 the start dates? 17 left-hand side; correct? A. I assume that the director of A. Yes, I did. 18 18 Q. And you just didn't fill out 19 19 counseling would direct any related-service the start dates because you thought that was 20 provider. 20 21 21 Q. This is for your A. I know it's his 22 identification to fill-out these sheets; 22 23 23 responsibility. correct? 24 Q. Okay. But everything else on 24 A. No, I wasn't. I was

Page 2682

Page 2683

1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 the form was your responsibility at this time? 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any 3 A. Just the schedule, but I gave 3 objection? 4 him all the additional information, to be 4 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: No. 5 proactive so I wouldn't be told that he doesn't 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 6 have their information, like their mandate or 6 Received. 7 THE REPORTER: I apologize. their I.D. number. 7 8 Just so I'm clear, and the record's clear, you Q. But you didn't think the 8 9 said this is R-Seventy-nine? start date was something that was within your 9 DUINGWING CONTROL OF THE WORLD MR, GLASS: I believe so. I 10 was trying to get the next consecutive one. 11 As It's not. I'm not - 3 2 3 11 12 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: 12 THE REPORTER: My -- my belief was that Seventy-nine was the e-mail 13 Objection. 13 14 A. (Cont'g.) - the person 14 from Ms. DeMarco. 15 15 MR. GLASS: Okay. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Asked and 16 16 17 17 Thank you, Mr. Streu. You may --18 THE HEARING OFFICER: 18 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Thank 19 Sustained. Sustained. Asked and answered 19 you. THE HEARING OFFICER: -- be 20 several times. 20 21 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: You're 21 right. 22 like, badgering now. 22 MR. GLASS: And we'll call 23 MR. GLASS: I'd like to -23 that R-Eighty, then. I'd like to move that into evidence. THE HEARING OFFICER: Is that 24

800.523,7887

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2684 Page 2685 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 2 the last one you have, Mr. Streu? 2 this form is? 3 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry? 3 A. Yes, it's the page that 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Is --4 designates what their counseling, what their 5 is R-Seventy-nine, the e-mail, the last one 5 related-service mandate was. 6 that you have? 6 Q. Did this student have 7 THE REPORTER: Yes. 7 speech --? 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okav. 8 A. Apparently. It's listed on 9 (Off-the-record discussion) 9 the related-service page, that she has a 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank 10 mandate of two sessions per week for thirty you very much. So, that's Eighty and 11 11 minutes in a group of three. 12 Eighty-one. 12 Q. And that would be a pull-out 13 MR. GLASS: Okav. Now I'm 13 session? 14 going to show you R-Eighty-one. 14 A. Speech at Eight Eleven was 15 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: For 15 push-in. 16 identification? 16 MR. GLASS: Now, first of all 17 MR. GLASS: For 17 I'd like to move this is into evidence, then 18 identification. 18 I'll ask her questions about it --. 19 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Any 19 20 Q. Can you -- do you -- first of 20 objection? 21 all, do you know a student named Ar.Se., she 21 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: 22 was a student there? 22 Objection, relevancy. 23 A. Yes. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. 24 Q. Okay. Do you recognize what 24 Glass. Page 2686 Page 2687 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass 2 MR. GLASS: She said push-in 2 Overrule the objection on that, go ahead. 3 and I have some questions about this form 3 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Well, if 4 about, you know, what is appropriate. You 4 the questions are going to be as to the whole 5 know, it has to do with the sign-out-logs issue 5 speech-therapy push-in -- push-in, it's been 6 as to -- when I asked briefly what class meant, 6 questioned -- asked about that and -- and you know, and -- so, it's following up on that. 7 7 answered about that. I don't know if you need 8 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Well --, 8 that document to ask those very questions. I 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: May I don't see the relevancy of this document at 9 10 just take a look at it? 10 all. 11 THE WITNESS: Can I ask how 11 If the questions are simply 12 much longer --12 about what the speech teacher does and if she 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. 13 pushes-in, pushes-out, that was already 14 THE WITNESS: - we're going 14 discussed and I don't think this document is to be --? 15 going to add anything to it. I don't see the 15 16 MR. GLASS: Well --. 16 relevance of the document and I -- I would 17 THE WITNESS: I'm missing my 17 object to it coming in. 18 school's graduation as it is, and I would like THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to at -- get back to see the kids at least.

I'm not sure how long we have, but let's go

(Off-the-record discussion)

THE HEARING OFFICER:

off-the-record for the moment, Mr. Streu.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm -- I'm going to overrule the objection as

MR. GLASS: All right.

to relevancy. I don't think it's cumulative.

If it becomes so we'll revisit that.

Go ahead.

BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'a.)

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Intl., Inc.

Page 2688 Page 2689 1 DeMarco - Cross - Glass DeMarco - Cross - Giass 1 2 Q. I'm showing you R-Eighty-one, 2 about the push-in, push --3 which is now in evidence. I notice on the 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: --4 first line it does say separate, for speech 4 over --5 provider, does that signify that the student 5 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: -- of the 6 should be removed from the class? 6 speech teacher. What does it have to do with 7 A. As per the school policy for 7 the charges? 8 speech in district seventy-five they adhere to 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled. 9 a more push-in model. We have speech teachers 9 10 who are in the classroom. BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 10 11 Q. And that was in every case, 11 Q. And that was -- and that was or that's only because of the space 12 their policy to explain how you came by saying 12 13 limitations? 13 that there should be provided separate 14 A. I - I've seen it in many services? 14 15 schools. It was not only in Eight Eleven. 15 A. That was -- it's -- that was 16 Q. So, speech provider focus is speech services in district seventy-five 16 17 inside the classes? 17 policy - and well, I don't know district 18 A. Some do, some don't, but at seventy-five. It was speech policy at Eight 18 19 Eight Eleven it was push-in. 19 Eleven that our speech therapists did push-in. 20 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Okay. 20 MR. GLASS: One more minute, 21 I'm going to object to the relevance. To this 21 there may be a final question. 22 whole --THE HEARING OFFICER: How 22 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Over --23 long? 24 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: -- line 24 MR. GLASS: Just two minutes, Page 2690 Page 2691 1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 2 that's all. 2 evervone? 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okav. 3 A. I have no idea how Mr. Jeter 4 But let's limit it to two minutes, if we can. 4 came into possession of my private, personal, 5 THE WITNESS: This is so 5 secure Board of Ed e-mail, which I have never 6 unfair. I missed my school's graduation. You 6 given anyone my password, my PiN, any of my 7 know. 7 confidential information. MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I'm so 8 8 Q. Is any of the information 9 sorry, Ms. DeMarco. 9 within the e-mail related to Mr. Jeter? 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Off --10 A. No. 11 off the record, Mr. Streu. Q. And is there anything in the 11 12 (Off-the-record discussion) 12 e-mail that would be considered -- withdrawn. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 13 13 Now you asked during 14 BY MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: 14 cross-examination about Issues that you have 15 Q. Ms. DeMarco, looking at what with Mr. Jeter. Could you just explain to us 15 16 has been marked Respondent's-Seventy-nine. 16 exactly what the issues are that -- were that 17 dated May 28th of 2004, was Mr. Jeter assigned 17 you had with Mr. Jeter, during this period of to Eight Eleven K during May 20th -- sorry 18 18 time when you had to collect his data? 19 September 28th of 2005? 19 A. It very quickly became very 20 A. I don't -- I'm not quite sure 20 adversarial. He was resistant to give me the when he was removed, but I believe it was 21 21 documents in a timely manner, which is why Ms. before that, 22 Henderson stepped in. I would pass him in the 23 Q. Do you have any idea how --23 hall, say hello and he would ignore me. He 24 was this a -- a public e-mail that was sent to 24 wouldn't talk to me. If I asked him to add a

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter.

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2692

Page 2693

1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 2 2 student to his caseload, he would not take any And he made some kind of 3 direction except in writing. If I had to meet 3 comment about that's what they said in -- in 4 with him to discuss anything, he would refuse 4 Nazi Germany, that they were only following 5 and tell me he needed union representation and 5 directions. And - and you're a smart girl, 6 would not speak to me. Everything was a very 6 you know what that means, kind of thing. I 7 7 big deal. don't remember specifically, but I was really 8 8 Q. Okay. Do you recall one uncomfortable and I wrote it up. I was - I 9 9 instance where you attempted to get documents was uncomfortable. 10 from Mr. -- Mr. Jettir, and he had a 10 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: 1 11 conversation with you, in November of 2004? 11 unfortunately don't remember our last document 12 A. Was it the -1-1 don't 12 number but I'd like to have this marked, 13 know if you're referring to the Nazi comment, 13 Department of Education's -? 14 about Nazi Germany, there was a --14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let me 15 Q. Well, tell us about that 15 see. 16 conversation. 16 A thought, before you go. A. We were in the stairwell and 17 17 On that last exchange that 18 it was - I don't know what it was directly 18 you referred to, what's the date of that, do 19 after, but I had interacted with him in the 19 you recall? 20 stairwell and I said something to the effect of 20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 21 look, you know, don't shoot me. I'm just the 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. messenger. My role here is - is following 22 22 THE WITNESS: And I wrote it 23 directions from my supervisor and - an 23 up, I don't know if the date's on it, but I 24 collecting this information. wrote an anecdotal and I shared it with Ms.

Page 2694

Page 2695

1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 1 2 Henderson and Dr. Dreyfus. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 4 MR. GLASS: Actually, you 4 5 know, this -- I have to lodge an objection at 5 6 this point. I mean, this is sort of what we've 6 7 been through here in the past. This is -- the 7 8 first thing is - is coming back with new 8 documents that have not been provided in 9 9 10 Discovery, trying to establish a tendency to 10 11 behave in a certain way --11 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm 12 13 13 sorry. 14 MR. GLASS: -- not to 14 provide --- 5-100種(4.分下。 15 15 16 THE MEARING OFFICER: What --16 17 but what are you objecting to? 17 18 MR. GLASS: Well, the -- the 18 19 introduction of this very vivid --. 19 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh. 20 21 Oh, well, let's -- we'll -- we'll get to that. 21 22 You'll have a chance at the appropriate time. 22 23 The -- the number is Thirty-six. 23 objection? 24 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Thank 24 MR. GLASS: I think I do.

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir you. Thirty-six for identification. BY MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: (Cont'g.) Q. Can you identify that document that has been marked Department of Education's Thirty-six for identification? A. Yes. Q. What is that document? A. I wrote an anecdotal of what exactly - you know, what Mr. Jeter had said to me that afternoon, because I was pretty uncomfortable. And when I shared it with Dr. Dreyfus she asked for copies. Q. And you wrote this yourself? A. Yes, I did. Q. And this is your recollection of what took place on that day? A. I wrote it that day. MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Okay. I'd like to have this moved into evidence as Department of Education's Thirty-six, please? THE HEARING OFFICER: Any

Page 2696

800.523,7887

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2697 1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 2 Let me speak to Mr. Jeter about it. It's the 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: -- an 3 first time I've seen it. 3 objection. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 4 MR. GLASS: -- record, 5 MR. GLASS: It was not 5 though, that --. 6 provided at the beginning of the day --. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, 7 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I believe 7 I'm sure -- it's on the record. it was in all the documents that you received. 8 8 MR. GLASS: This is about the 9 MR. GLASS: I can absolutely 9 third time it's happened. 10 assure you it was not in - in the 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. documents --. 11 11 Streu? 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well. 12 THE REPORTER: Yes, sir. 13 okay. Let's -13 THE HEARING OFFICER: We're 14 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Well -off the record just, probably, for a minute. 14 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: -15 (Off-the-record discussion) 16 let's just --16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any 17 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: What? 17 objection, Mr. Glass? 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: --MR. GLASS: I mean, I have to 18 19 focus on --19 lodge an objection. I mean, I'm not sure 20 MR. GLASS: Well, I think --20 the -- what the point of bringing this in. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: --21 Number one, it could have 22 whether he has -22 come up on direct testimony. There's nothing 23 MR. GLASS: - it should be 23 in cross that makes her all of a sudden start 24 on the --24 to talk about why she has to bring this in now.

Page 2698

Page 2699

1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 2 Number two, it was never 2 and you know, it's just prejudicial. Just come 3 provided to us. 3 in, you know, after the fact. I mean, why was 4 Number three, it's not 4 it not on the direct case? Why was it not 5 charged in this case. 5 provided to me? Why -- why is it an issue now? 6 Number four, it - it's THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 6 7 consistent with, you know, late - late 7 Wait -- but the objection is as to the provision of documents that don't surface. 8 8 relevancy of it? I mean, the other discovery 9 . Never - number five, never 9 issues may be, obviously, a matter of concern 10 provided to Mr. Japan at any - any - any 10 between you, but time. And you know, it's -- it's -- it could 11 11 MR. GLASS: Well, that is 12 have been created yesterday for all I know. 12 a --. 13 So, it's - you know, it's 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: --14 not particularly relevant to the charges, it 14 but -- excuse me, let me finish. 15 doesn't even -- it -- it's not even saying 15 But the question here is 16 anything of miscanduct. You know, now they'll 16 whether this is relevant and the other say that this person feels threatened, because 17 17 legitimate objection is whether it's within the 18 he made a comment. It's consistent with what 18 scope of -- of cross. happened at our last meeting, when Ms. Brown 19 19 So, those are the ones I'd brings in something and says, "Oh, by the way 20 20 like to have Ms. -- Ms. Pepe-Souvenir answer --21 he had another threatening incident." 21 respond to. 22 I mean, these are not charged 22 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: 23 things. They happened well before this case 23 Absolutely. The beginning of the was -- to provide it. They extend the case, 24 24 cross-examination there were several questions

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'L, Inc.

Page 2701

Page 2700

```
1
         DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir
2
   the documents, that they were going to Ms.
3
   Henderson. And she was the one, Ms. Henderson
4
   would then give to her, or there would be
5
   another person in the loop, she wouldn't have
6
   to directly deal with Mr. Jeter.
7
               So, Mr. Glass opened the
8
   door, and this was an issue that came up during
```

relationship. It was hard for her to get documents, and these were questions that were brought out -- responses that were brought out by the questions Mr. Glass asked.

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir

asked of Ms. DeMarco where she clearly

intimated to the arbitrator during the hearing

was very -- there was a lot of tension in the

that she had a problem with Mr. Jeter, getting

documents from Mr. Jeter, that the relationship

800.523.7887

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

9 his cross, and I feel I have a perfect right to 10 explore it further on redirect. THE HEARING OFFICER: I 11 12 understand.

If -- he's correct. During my direct examination I didn't ask her about the relationship with Mr. Jeter, the difficulties that she had. She made one comment, actually, during her direct examination, that there was a point in time when her relationship with Mr. Jeter got very

13 MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: And 14 that's exactly what I'm doing. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll

18 tension-filled. But Mr. Glass, in his cross, asked several -- at least five questions, and I've noted them, as to issues, as to tensions

16 overrule the objection. Go ahead. MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Thank 17

20 ahead.

you.

18

19

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

20 21 22 she had, as to the difficulties she had with 23 getting documents with him, as to the reason 24 why she stopped being the one actually getting

MR. GLASS: Okay. I just would ask, though, I mean, if -- regardless as

THE HEARING OFFICER: Go

23 to whether what they're going to say may have

24 an element of relevance -- I'm mean, as long as

Page 2702

Page 2703

1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 2 they're -- they're relevant. But also, you 3 know, there is - there's the Discovery issue 4 that's being glossed over again and again, and 5 I think that should be under consideration --. 6 THE HEAPING OFFICER: Okay. 7 Mr. - Mr. Glass. I heard you on that. I' 8 don't want to take it up at this point. We can 9 take that up at another point. That's fine. 10 MR. GLASS: Just note for the 11 record this is --12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. 13 MR. GLASS: - the third 14 violation and it's an ongoing concern in this 15 matter and --. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: All 17 right. Fine. Let's -- let's not discuss it-18 any further. That active noted. 19 Go ahead, Ms. Pepe-Souvenir.

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir he needed to give you? A. I tried not to really have

conversations alone, and at that point anything I gave him was usually in writing, with either his union rep or somebody there. Q. Uh-huh. Okay. Now, was this

the standard procedure when you would talk to a -- whether it be a teacher, whether it be a service provider, that they would have to have the union rep if you wanted to give them instructions as to what they needed to do procedurally within the school setting?

A. I assume that it's their right to have -- my understanding is that they only need -- they're -- they're entitled to union representation if it's disciplinary. But my experience prior to this was that I - I had never had to have a staff member have union representation just to get direction.

Q. Okay. And as a result of Mr. Jeter always requiring to have union representation present when you had to give him instructions, did that delay having to give him

BY MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: (Cont'g.)

21 Q. After having that 22 conversation with Mr. Jeter in November of

23 2004, did you have any subsequent conversation

with Mr. Jeter in reference to documents that

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2708 Page 2709 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 2 the stipulation that we have on that point. 2 she had? 3 BY MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: (Cont'g.) 3 A. With his schedule? 4 Q. Prior to Ms. Dreyfus having a 4 Q. Uh-huh. 5 conversation with Mr. Jeter in September -- you 5 A. Yes. 6 recall that --6 Q. Okay. At any point in time 7 A. Yes. 7 did Mr. Jeter ever say to you that he could not 8 Q. -- correct? 8 provide the proper information in his 9 And you were with Ms. Dreyfus related-service -- related-service forms or his 9 10 that morning? counseling log or this -- the -- these 10 A. I believe it was more like 11 11 sign-in/sign-out sheet, because you were 12 lunchtime. 12 holding onto his materials? 13 Q. Okay: Lunchtime. Prior to 13 A. Not that I recall. 14 her having the conversation with Mr. Jeter, had 14 Q. And based on the -- the -she, at any point, instructed you to follow-up 15 15 the data, on average how long did it take you 16 on Mr. Jeter's documentation? 16 to go through Mr. Jeter's documentation in the A. No. 17 17 comparison of the three documents? 18 Q. Okay. And her request for 18 A. Maybe four hours, five hours. 19 you to follow-up on Mr. Jeter's documentation 19 Q. All right. 20 only came after she had the conversation with 20 A. It was a lot of time. 21 Mr. Jeter; correct? 21 Q. And you did that on a weekly 22 A. Yes. 22 basis? 23 Okay. And you were present 23 A. Every week. It usually took when she spoke to Mr. Jeter about the questions up either all day Monday or all day Tuesday,

Page 2710

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 2711

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir depending on when we got the book.

Q. All right. And then you book back to Mr. Jeter?

Q. Okay. Did you know what the reasons were? Were you at least aware of what the reasons were?

Q. Whether or not Ms. Henderson - well, withdrawn.

22 You indicated that at one 23 point in time there were some concerns about 24 your time and attendance?

23 24

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And that eventually those were unfounded?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Did your time and attendance at - at all affect Mr. Jeter's or your findings of Mr. Jeter's entries in his related-service card or his counseling log or his time -- sign-in/sign-out sheets.

A. Not affected at all, no.

Q. Okay. Did that affect your -- the errors that you would find, or the omissions that you would find, would that be related to you being on time or late at any point in time in your career?

A. In my - my recollection is it happened long after he was gone.

Q. The attendance issue?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Was Mr. Jeter involved with you - with your attendance issues? Did he make a complaint?

A. I don't know.

1

2

21

3 4 would turn around and then give it -- give the A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you say that during the period of time when you were looking over Mr. Jeter's documentation, that he was singularly scrutinized more than any other service - related-service provider? A. At the time, yes, but my understanding was that it was based on a 14 reason. 15 16 17 18 A. There were concerns about him 19 servicing students. 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2712

Page 2713

1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 1 DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 2 Q. All right. 2 Ms. Dreyfus, Ms. Henderson get together and 3 A. The only person I know made a 3 decide that you were going to go after Mr. 4 complaint was Mr. Adolphe. 4 Jeter specifically? 5 Q. Okay. At any point in time. 5 A. No. Just to monitor students 6 when you checked over Mr. Jeter's 6 not being served. 7 documentation -- withdrawn. Q. Okay. At any point in time 7 8 Now, you also indicated that was there a discussion to go after Mr. Jeter 8 9 Ms. Henderson did not leave the school 9 because he's black? 10 voluntarily? 10 A. No. 11 A. Correct. 11 Q. And that -- I'm relates --12 Q. And that there was some referring to any conversations between you, Ms. 12 complaints related to Ms. Henderson? 13 13 Henderson, and Ms. Dreyfus? 14 A. Correct. A. No. Ms. Henderson is black 14 15 Q. Okay. Did that -- those 15 as well. 16 complaints relate at all to Mr. Jeter's -- to 16 Q. Prior to coming to Eight 17 your reviewing Mr. Jeter's related-service 17 Eleven K did you know Mr. Jeter? 18 cards, his sign-ins -- his sign-in/sign-out 18 A. No. cards, or his counseling log? Were they 19 Q. Okay. And prior to you 19 20 related at all to that? 20 having to review Mr. Jeter's documentation, 21 A. No, and I - I 'm not sure if 21 could you describe what kind of relationship 22 Mr. Jeter was even still in the building at the 22 you had with Mr. Jeter? 23 time. 23 A. I really only interacted with 24 At any point in time did you, 24 him when students were in crisis, because he

Page 2714

1

8

9

24

Page 2715

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir was one of - as a guidance counselor he worked with students in casis, in conjunction with the - the crisis teacher.

Q. Okay. At any point in time when you did work with Mr. Jeter, in conjunction with students having crisis, did he at all exhibit any kind of behavior that made you feel uncomfortable?

A. Not at that time.

Q. Okay. And is it your testimony that the only time that he did make you feel uncomfortable, or -- or exhibited behaviors that you described, was after you started reviewing his documentation and making -- pointing out to him the things that he needed to fix in his documentation?

A. Absolutely. Q. And I know you've already 20 testified about what has been moved into evidence as R-Seventy-nine, and you indicated that this was a private e-mail sent from your 22 23 e-mail to this person. How does it make you 24 feel, as you sit here today, this document

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir

2 being used during these 3020-a hearings? 3 A. Well, I'm concerned about

4 someone having access to my Board of Ed e-mail. 5 I really am feeling very violated, and personally I think I have some rights, but 7 apparently I don't.

got ahold of this e-mail. I - I - it's

10 just - I don't understand how someone could have access to e-mails that are 11 12 password-protected when I certainly have never

And I'm concerned how someone

13 given anyone access to my e-mail account. 14 Q. Okay. Then you were also --

15 also asked some questions about Ms. Kirshbalm 16 being assigned to do something else. What 17 exactly is Ms. Kirshbalm's title, if you could 18 teil me?

19 A. Well, right now she's 20 retired.

21 Q. But can --.

22 A. But when she was there I 23 believe she was listed as a paraprofessional.

Q. She was a paraprofessional.

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

Page 2716

Page 2717

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir 1 1 2 Were you aware of this incident that took place 2 Q. Had there any -- been -- been in April, involving Mr. Jeter and Ms. Kirshbalm any complaints before, prior to Mr. Jeter's 4 and Ms. Copenny? 4 complaint, about Ms. Kirshbalm's position? A. Only through Ms. Copenny. 5 A. I don't know. MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Okay. 6 Q. And you testified that Ms. Kirshbalm's assignment that particular day was Just give me a few minutes. given to her by Ms. Henderson? 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 9 She had been in and out of MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Oh, can I 9 10 please show her what you have marked as Exhibit classroom positions from the time I'd gotten 10 11 there and I - I don't know how long before, 11 BY MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: (Cont'g.) 12 but that was -- that was Henderson, and how she 12 Q. You were -- were you given a 13 had her school organized. 13 14 Q. Okay. At that point in time 14 specific assignment to make Mr. Jeter's 15 schedule? did Mr. Jeter -- was -- was it appropriate for 15 A. Yes. 16 Mr. Jeter, who was assigned to be someplace 16 17 else, to make this complaint to Ms. Copenny at 17 Q. How often would you have to that point in time? do his schedule? 18 18 19 ⊶ A. No. 19 A. I -- you know, it got amended 20 Q. Okay. And why was it not any time a new student needed to be added to 20 appropriate? his caseload. But I did the first one in 21 21 22 A. The person who does staff 22 September, and then added a student or made a correction, I'm not sure which in - in the -23 assignments and staff designations is an 23

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

Page 2718

Page 2719

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir stayed pretty constant, unless a new student came or we received notification from the District about a student unserved counseling. And based on who had space in their schedule I would assign them to either Mr. Lent or Mr. Jeter.

Q. Okay. So, ordinarily, the -the schedule itself was made up by an administrator and then given to the related-service providers?

administrator, not - not anyone else.

A. No. Normally they're made up by the provider, but Dr. Dreyfus had seen his initial schedule and - and was very unhappy with it, and asked to me write it.

Q. Do you know why she was unhappy with his initial schedule?

A. He was assigned two sites, and she was concerned about him being out of the building.

Okay. And you indicated that 22 your only responsibility, then, would have been to just do this part - this portion of the

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. But you proceeded to do the other portion of the schedule?

DeMarco - Redirect - Pepe-Souvenir

the October one. And for the most part it

A. Correct.

Q. And why is it that you did the other portions of the schedule?

A. Because it gave all the pertinent information that he would need to fill out his related-service cards, and I this way he wouldn't have to look them up.

12 Q. Okay. So, you were trying to 13 help Mr. Jeter out?

A. Yes, I was.

15 Q. Okay. And you indicated that 16 you didn't put a start date. Was that your 17 responsibility to put the start date?

A. No, it's the related-service 18 19 provider.

> MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I have no further questions for this witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: You may

23 go ahead. MR. GLASS: Well, yeah -- a

14

15 16

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

800 523 7887

17

19 20 21

18

24

schedule?

20

21

22

24

1

06/23/2006, NY, NY, In the Matter of NYC Dept. of Ed. v Ernest Jeter,

1

Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.

Page 2720

DeMarco - Recross - Glass

Page 2721

1	DeMarco - Recross - Giass	1	DeMarco - Recross - Glass
2	few questions.	2	I'm - I would certainly have a conversation if
3	RECROSS EXAMINATION	3	I was a principal. I'm not, but I would assume
4	BY MR. GLASS:	4	that that's something that he could bring to
5	Q. First regarding this. Did	5	speak to the principal about.
6	Dr. Dreyfus ever direct Mr. Jeter to fill out	6	Q. And if it's legitimately
7	the start date on this form?	7	raising a concern, would you feel it would be
8	A. Not that I know of.	8	improper to retaliate against someone for
9	 Q. Did you ever instruct him to 	9	raising that concern?
10	do it?	10	A. I don't believe in
11	A. No, I did not.	11	retaliation.
12	 Q. Okay. Then regarding the 	12	Q. Okay. Now, you expressed, in
13	Kirshbalm incident we just discussed, do you	13	this letter, that Mr. Jeter was someone who
14	think it was wrong for Mr. Jeter to complain if	14	said that he was closely scrutinized?
15	he thought Ms. Kirshbalm was being improperly	15	A. Yes, it does.
16	assigned by the school?	16	Q. You understood you
17	A. From my understanding of the	17	understood that he was why he might feel
18	incident I think there was a more appropriate	18	upset, that this?
19	way to make that complaint.	19	A. I did understand it, but I
20	 Q. But it's not improper for a 	20	didn't feel like it - I should be the target
21	teacher to do or a guidance counselor, to raise	21	of his anger.
22	a concern if staff was being misallocated, in	22	MR. GLASS: Okay.
23	violation of I.E.P.s?	23	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm
24	A. It's not their role, but	24	sorry. And when you referred to this letter

Page 2722

Page 2723

1 DeMarco - Recross - Glass 1 DeMarco - Recross - Glass 2 you're referring to --2 feared the, you know, loss his job because 3 MR. GLASS: D-Thirty-six. 3 he -- he -- he was not acting -- felt like he 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: --4 was being scrutinized over -- well, strike the 5 D-Thirty-six. Okay. 5 question. I'll try to rephrase. 6 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 6 First let me just ask you 7 Q. Okay. And but you understood 7 about the statement in general. When did you 8 that he might be upset, because he felt that he 8 write the statement? 9 was being wrong -- wrongly watched? 9 A. Soon after it happened. 10 A. Right. 10 Q. And who -- was this a -- and 11 Q. And you were likewise upset 11 where did you write it? when someone made a compfaint about your time 12 12 A. I went up and -- on my 13 in attendance, you felt it was unjustified; computer, I wrote it. 13 14 correct? 14 Q. And who did you give it to? 15 A. 1 -- I -- yes. 15 A. Well, at first I -- I just 16 Q. You were pretty upset at Mr. 16 filed it, and then when I shared it with Dr. 17 Martiste (sic) for raising that concern? 17 Dreyfus she wanted a copy of it. 18 A. I wouldn't say pretty upset 18 Q. And when did you share it 19 because I wasn't doing anything wrong, but it 19 with Dr. Drevfus? 20 was not a pleasant experience. 20 A. Well, I don't know the exact 21 Q. Okay. And those comments he 21 date. I - I didn't put the 11/03 on the top, 22 made about Nazi Germany, wasn't he just trying 22 so it's possible that that's when Dr. Dreyfus 23 to convey that he telt that - that people were 23 put it, when I gave it to her, but --. acting in such a way that that -- that he 24 Q. All right. And did you type

Exhibit K

24

06/08/2006, New York, NY, In the matter of Ernest Jeter

Associated Reporters In'tl., Inc.

Page 2200 1 2 THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 3 4 In the Matter of NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION - DISTRICT 75 5 v. 5 ERNEST JETER Section 3020-a Education Law Proceeding (File #5,285) 8 9 DATE: June 8, 2006 1.0 TIME: 10:30 a.m. to 2:28 p.m. 11 LOCATION: NYC Department of Education 12 Office of Legal Services 49-51 Chambers Street 13 New York, New York 10004 14 BEFORE: CALTVIN W. SHARPE, ESQ. Hearing Officer 15 27 Undercliff Road Montclair, New Jersey 07042 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23



06/08/2006, New York, NY, In the matter of Ernest Jeter

Associated Reporters In'tl., Inc.

Page 2265 Page 2266 1 Flynn - Cross - Glass 1 Flynn - Cross - Glass 2 is being charged with. He's not being charged 2 the objection. 3 with whether he had line-of-duty injury or not. 3 BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.) 4 It -- it's a specific charge that he is out for 4 Q. Okay. So didn't you say several days. There's no question as to his 5 5 something about Ms. Henderson also talked to CAR balance or -- or what he had. So I don't 6 6 you about this? 7 see the relevancy of the line of questioning. 7 A. Yeah. That's -- that's the basis. 8 8 Q. What -- what did she say 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 9 about it? 10 I -- I get it. Mr. -- Mr. Glass? 10 A. The same thing Dr. Erber. 11 MR. GLASS: Well, he's 11 Q. After -- did she speak to you 12 charged with excessive absence for these missed 12 after Dr. Erber? periods of time, and whether it's unauthorized 13 13 A. Dr. Erber probably spoke to absence or authorized absences, line-of-duty 14 14 her first, and then they both told me. may very well have an impact on explaining why 15 15 Q. And she told you to change -he was absent. A CAR balance is a -- is a 16 16 A. Yeah. 17 defense that they had a -- if they had a viable 17 Q. -- remove his CAR balance? 18 CAR balance, which the administration changed is that -- that's a "yes"? 18 on him -- could be a defense as to whether 19 A. Well, not -- oh. Yes. these are excused absences, and you know, this 20 20 Q. At some point, was that in --21 is barely the -- the -- the heart of the I believe our -- well, who is -- who is 21 22 charges -- excessive absence. I want --. 22 Danette, by the way? 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: I think 23 A. She worked at our district 24 it could -- could be related, so I'll overrule 24 office. She helped the -. Page 2267 Page 2268 1 Flynn - Cross - Glass 1 Flynn - Cross - Glass Q. At 400 Main Street? 2 A. Yes. A. Yeah - 400 for - she helped 3

```
2
 3
                                                                      MR. GLASS: I'm marking
 4
     with the -- with the school -- the teachers --
                                                      4
                                                          another document. I just want to make sure.
 5
     their payroll.
                                                          Is 'R' Fifty -- the last document -- is that in
                                                      5
 6
             Q. I want to --
                                                      6
                                                          evidence -- 'R' Fifty?
 7
                MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I'm
                                                      7
                                                                      THE HEARING OFFICER: 'R'
 8
     trying to look at my copy.
                                                      8
                                                          Fifty?
 9
     BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.)
                                                                      MR. GLASS: I'd like to move
                                                      9
10
             Q. And that date -- you see how
                                                          it in. She wrote the note on it.
                                                     10
    the -- for the top, there's a date -- last
11
                                                     11
                                                                      THE HEARING OFFICER: 'R'
12
    usage date of 6/15/03.
                                                     12
                                                          Fifty -- I don't have it in this --.
13
                THE HEARING OFFICER: Looking
                                                     13
                                                                      MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: Did you
    at Fifty?
14
                                                          try to put that in through -- on the 7th?
                                                     14
15
                MR. GLASS: Yeah,
                                                     15
                                                                     MR. GLASS: I think I tried.
16
                THE HEARING OFFICER: Is this
                                                     16
                                                          Yeah. I probably --.
17
    Respondent's Fifty?
                                                     17
                                                                     THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't
    BY MR. GLASS: (Cont'g.)
18
                                                     18
                                                          have a notation of -- of it being received.
19
             Q. Respondent's Fifty -- you see
                                                     19
                                                                     MR. GLASS: I'd like to move
20
    that date of 6/15/03?
                                                     20
                                                          it into evidence at this point.
21
            A. Right.
                                                     21
                                                                     THE HEARING OFFICER: Any
22
             Q. Does that reflect that there
                                                     22
                                                          objection, Ms. Pepe-Souvenir?
23
    was still a CAR balance of thirty-seven days,
                                                     23
                                                                     MS. PEPE-SOUVENIR: I have no
    as of that date?
                                                     24
                                                          objection. Do you have an extra copy of this?
```

Exhibit L

To: Office of Equal Opportunity Complaint Unit 65 Court Street, Roo m 923 Brooklyn, New York 11201

From: Ernest Jeter
Guidance Counselor/
Related Service Provider
124-21 Flatlands Avenue
Brooklyn, New York 11208

Date: June 1, 2005

Re: Complaint of Allege Discrimination and Retaliation for filing complaint

Dear Sir/Madame:

The individuals mentioned above in this complaint have retaliated against me for filing a complaint for discrimination to your office. That is, I have re-assigned and assigned to the District 75 main office at 400 First Avenue, New York, New York. In addition, I have been placed at the district office for some unknown investigation in which the reason for the investigation has not been explained to me.

The actions of re-assigning or assigning me happened on April 12, 2005 to the present. In my opinion, By Fran Dryfus, etc., re-assigning, assigning, investigating, and re-investigating me for some unknown reasons, they are punishing and taking disciplinary action, and harassing me for filing a complaint to your office. Furthermore your office did not honor my April 6, 2005 rquest to your office for a formal investigation.

I am demanding to be immediately placed back at the school I am entitled to work in.

Thank you

Ernest Jeter

Attachment 2 -Regulation A-830 Complaint Form - Page 1

CHANCELLOR'S REGULATION A-830 NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION COMPLAINT OF ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION

Complainant:

Please complete every appropriate item and submit it as soon as possible after the incident of alleged discrimination or harassment to:

Office of Equal Opportunity
Complaint Unit
65 Court Street, Room 923
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Fax #718-935-2531

(Please print clearly all requested information. Also attach additional pages and supporting documentation if necessary.) Check (v) One: Employee O Student O Parent O Other Ernest Jeter Name: Student's Name: (If complaint is being filed by parent.) Home Address: 124-21 Flatlands Ave, /1-5- B'Klyn, K Home 118 498-6437 Work 357-596- 7357 cell Phone #: Complaint Request: (Please circle A or B) A. Investigation Request: This is a request for a formal investigation of a complaint of alleged discrimination. Conciliation Request: This is a request for OEO and/or the Local Equal Opportunity В. Coordinator (LEOC) to conciliate/resolve a complaint of alleged discrimination. Name of LEOC or Principal:_____ School/Office/Region: School/Office Phone #:()_____

Case 1:06-cv-03687-NGG-LBDocument 15-2Filed 03/19/	107 D 07 (04 D 1D 11 E4.4

Nature of Complaint:	Regulation A-830 Complaint Form - Page
1. Check below why you believe	you were discriminated against.
O Age	O Marital Status
O Arrest/Conviction	● Race
O Color	O Religion
O Creed	Retaliation (for complaint)
O Disability	O Sexual Harassment
O Ethnicity/National Origin	O Sexual Orientation
O Gender/Sex	O Other
O Alienage/Citizenship Status	
2. Name(s)/Title(s) of person(s)	you believe discriminated against you.
Francis Dayfus	I - I Find the State
The bright	Local Inshuctional Supe
mancher (), () and	Bonne Brown, Deputy
	het 73
3. Where did it take place?	
4. Date (s) on which alleged act(s	s) of discrimination occurred
Month: April Day [2 Year _	2.005 Month: Day + Year 2 0 0 5
5. Explain what happened. (Attac	ch extra pages if needed.)
(e +4 . /	
- Dee alluched	Relatiated against
me for filing a	some levit to
	company to your
ma	
6. What relief or corrective action	
the turn to m	y previous chool, etc
	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
	7
Signature En net	e Date June 1, 2005
	1 1005
	/



THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION JOEL I. KLEIN, Chancellor

Office of Equal Opportunity

April 21, 2005

Mr. Ernest Jeter 124-21 Flatlands Avenue, Apt. 1-J Brooklyn, NY 11208



Dear Mr. Jeter:

The Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO) is in receipt of your complaints. Our preliminary investigation, made pursuant to Chancellor's Regulation A-830, indicates that there is no credible evidence presented which would support your allegations of discrimination and retaliation. Additionally, you have not presented credible evidence that the actions of school administrators regarding the assessment of your job performance and their involvement in a Special Commissioner of Investigations ("SCI") investigation of you regarding "falsified records and not providing mandated services to students" were pretexts for illegal discrimination. The issues raised in your complaints regarding your job performance and the SCI investigation are best addressed by your union. Based on the foregoing, OEO has declined to assert further jurisdiction over this matter and has closed your case file.

If you have any questions, you may contact Michael J. Valente, Esq., Equal Opportunity Complaint Officer at (718) 935-3319.

Sincerely,

Connie A. Shulman Acting Director

CAS:mjv

Exhibit M

16/12/2005 14:44

1212-374-5596

DEPT OF EDUCATION

PAGE 02/1



THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION JOEL I. KLEIN, Chancellor

OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR 52 Chambers Street, Rm. 308, New York, NY 10007

October 12, 2005

BY FAX - (718) 722-2869

Kathleen Fitzharris Investigator State Division of Human Rights 55 Hanson Place Brooklyn, New York, 12217 RECEIVED

BRANCH CHICE

Re:

Ernest Jeter v. NYC DOE and Norma Barinas

SDHF: No.: 1017254

Dear Ms. Fitzharris:

Allegation

Complainant, who filed a previous case with the Division, now claims that the actions taken by the New York City Department of Education ("the Department") constitute retaliation. He claims that his assignment to the District Office in April 2005 constitutes disparate treatment and that he has been "falsely accused of excessive absenteeism... and brought up on unwarranted disciplinary charges."

Statement of Facts

The Department emphatically denies discriminating against complainant in retallation for his having filed a prior complaint with the Division, or for any other unlawful reason.

District 75 Local Instructional Superintendent ("LIS") Francine Dreyfus advises that complainant has been assigned to the District Office since April 2005 as a result of substantiated findings based on an investigation by the Department's Office of Special Investigations ("OSI"). He was removed from his assignment at 811K as per the directive from the Department's Office of Legal Services. The OSI investigation and the substantiated findings were based on a parent's complaint that her two children who attended 811K were not seen for counseling by complainant during the 2003-04 school year.

As of late September 2004, complainant's related service attendance logs, sign in/sign out sheets and the students' daily attendance, ATS report, were checked to ascertain if complainant actually followed his schedule and adhered to the students' mandates for counseling.

This was as a result of letters complainant received in June 2004 from his supervisor, Margo Levy, Supervisor of Guidance Counselors/Social Workers and a letter dated in October 2004 (following a conference in late September 2004) from Superintendent Dreyfus in which it was documented that complainant did not maintain his official Related Service Attendance cards and/or did not perform his duties (i.e., see mandated students on his schedule for counseling).

Complainant's related service attendance cards as well as the sign in/sign out sheets were collected every Monday. These documents were compared to individual students' mandates and their daily attendance in school. The Assistant Principal also periodically reviewed the other related service providers' attendance cards and sign in/sign out sheets.

In May 2004, a meeting was held with Principal Henderson concerning complainant's failure to follow his counseling schedule, failure to see students for their mandated service, and failure to fill out the Related Service Attendance Cards. Principal Henderson brought complainant's failing to perform his responsibilities as a counselor to Margo Levy's attention.

Conclusion

Complainant has failed to make a *prima facie* case of discrimination based on retaliation. Establishing a *prima facie* case of discrimination requires a showing that (1) complainant belongs to a protected class; (2) he performed his duties satisfactorily; (3) he was subjected to an adverse employment action; and (4) such adverse employment action occurred in circumstances giving rise to an inference of discrimination on the basis of her membership in a protected class. McLee v. Chrysler Corp., 109 F 3d 130 (2d Cir. 1997).

Complainant failed to perform his duties satisfactorily. The complaint does not allege any information that would lead anyone to conclude that discrimination based on retaliation occurred. Thus, I respectfully request that the Division issue a finding of no probable cause and dismiss this complaint in its entirety. If you have any questions on this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

A 1 1

Mary McKenna Rodríguez

Senidr Counsel

MMR/ta

RECEIVE